IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
AT CHARLESTON

____X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Criminal Action

Plaintiff, : No. 2:12-cr-00207-3

; V. :

: Date: February 7, 2018

PATRICK WARREN NAPIER, :

Defendant. : x

TRANSCRIPT OF SUPERVISED RELEASE REVOCATION HEARING HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID A. FABER, SENIOR STATUS JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: AUSA JOSHUA C. HANKS

U.S. Attorney's Office

P.O. Box 1713

Charleston, WV 25326-1713

For the Defendant: GREGORY J. CAMPBELL, ESQ.

Campbell Law Offices

Suite 300

105 Capitol Street Charleston, WV 25301

Probation Officer: Jeff Bella

Court Reporter: Ayme Cochran, RMR, CRR

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
PROCEEDINGS had before The Honorable David A. Faber,
Senior Status Judge, United States District Court, Southern
District of West Virginia, in Charleston, West Virginia, on
February 7, 2018, at 1:36 p.m., as follows:
          THE COURT: The case before the Court this
afternoon is the United States against Patrick Warren
Napier. This is docket number 2:207-3.
      Will the attorneys note their appearances, please?
          MR. HANKS: Josh Hanks on behalf of the United
States, Your Honor.
          MR. CAMPBELL: Gregory Campbell on behalf of the
the defendant, Patrick Napier, who is present here in the
courtroom, Judge.
          THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Campbell.
     The matter is pending upon a petition filed seeking
revocation of the defendant's supervised release and a
number of amendments.
     Mr. Campbell, have you had an opportunity to review all
of these papers and go over them with your client?
          MR. CAMPBELL: I have, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Are you ready to proceed today?
          MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir.
          THE COURT: All right. Mr. Napier, you're -- you
can be seated.
     Mr. Napier, you're charged with numerous violations of
```

your supervised release as more particularly set forth in the petition and its amendments. I won't go through them one by one, but I do want to advise you that, as a general matter, the possession of a firearm, destructive device -- excuse me. Commission of another crime, unlawful possession of a controlled substance, possessing of a firearm while on supervised release, leaving the judicial district, failure to answer truthful inquiries by your probation officer, failure to notify your probation officer at least ten days prior to change in employment, failure to notify the probation officer within 72 hours of being arrested and failure to complete participation in a program of counseling and treatment for drug and alcohol abuse.

You have the right to written notice of the alleged violations and disclosure of the evidence against you, the right to deny the truth of the charges and put the burden on the United States to prove them by preponderance of the evidence, the right to counsel at all stages of the proceedings, the right to remain silent, the right to confront and cross examine any government witnesses against you and the right to present witnesses and other evidence on your own behalf, if you so desire.

Mr. Campbell, let me ask you what your client's position is with regard to the charges here?

MR. CAMPBELL: Your Honor, the last hearing we had

before Judge Johnston, the petition was held in abeyance and Mr. Napier went to Recovery Point. Prior, prior to the court holding everything in abeyance, we did not contest any of the charges that -- I guess through the second -- we're on the third amendment. We didn't charge [sic] anything from the Second Amendment back to the origin. I do believe there might have been some discussion on this one about the firearm, but it didn't -- I believe it was inoperable. So, I think our B violation got more or less from the controlled substances.

So, like I say, as to -- as to -- we didn't contest from the second petition back and we do not contest the charges here in the third petition, Judge, third amended -- the last petition.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hanks, are you satisfied with that or do you want to present anything else today?

MR. HANKS: No. I'm satisfied, Your Honor. We covered a great deal of that in the previous hearing and I'm satisfied with the defendant's admissions through counsel.

THE COURT: All right. I find that the matters of record, including the original Presentence Report, contains sufficient information for me to proceed to a resolution of this matter today.

I further find that the guideline calculations are as

follows: The most serious grade of violation is a B. The Criminal History Category is I. This yields a statutory custody range of 24 months and supervised release under the statute of at least 36 months less any term of imprisonment imposed.

The guideline provisions are 4 to 10 months of incarceration and a supervised release term of 36 months less any imprisonment imposed.

The court notes that under the *Davis* case of the Fourth Circuit decided in 1995, the guideline range and revocation matter is advisory and the maximum statutory range of imprisonment here would be 24 months.

Mr. Campbell, I'll hear any argument you care to make on what you believe the appropriate resolution of this matter might be.

MR. CAMPBELL: Your Honor, I would ask the court to strongly consider sentencing Mr. Napier within the guideline range and -- which could be, in this case, up to 10 months, 4 to 10 months. And then, Judge, after that, I would ask the court not to impose any more supervised release and to let him -- let this case expire after this term of supervised release is over. I ask that, Judge -- the last time, it appears that -- Judge, I've been with Mr. Napier. I mean, you know, he can be a good person, but he has a serious, tremendous drug problem, but he couldn't even

stay in Recovery Point long enough. He's got, though,

Judge, the one thing he does have that most of the people

that stand before you don't have, or at least most of my

clients don't have, is he has tremendous family support.

His mother and her husband have just gone above and beyond

board to help Patrick.

When he gets through with incarceration, I've talked to her. She is still there for him, but it would seem to me, Judge, that after going through the petitions and seeing the violations and everything else, that perhaps the best thing to do is let him pay for this violation through the federal court system, release him from the federal system and, to the extent that he commits crimes, most of these charges seem to be small crimes or drug-related, let those be handled -- if, in fact, he's charged, let those be handled at the state level.

But Mr. Napier has been in federal prison. He has -he's pulled time in county jails. He has -- he's been under
supervision for sometime, whether -- whether he wasn't
always the best supervisee but, I mean, he's been under
supervision and I would just ask the court to consider that
after a guideline sentence here, which would serve at least
-- I don't know how much of a deterrent prison is to Mr.
Napier. It seems to be drug-fueled when he gets out. It
would certainly be a deterrent to others, a guideline

sentence. I mean, anywhere from 4 to 10 months is plenty of time for him to serve, get this over with, and get back out and see if he can get straightened up and that's what I would ask the court to consider. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Campbell.

Mr. Napier, anything you want to say to me before I sentence you here?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I do appreciate you letting me speak today and, like he said, I do prison alright. You know what I mean? I do my time. I don't bother anybody.

Out on the street, as you can see, these charges here,

I was -- I wasn't selling drugs. I wasn't out selling

drugs. I mean, yeah, was I doing not exactly what I was

supposed to do? Yeah. I wasn't -- wasn't doing -- you know

what I mean? I got -- I had some things that I had some

problems with, as far as, you know, doing drugs and whatnot.

A lot of those base from, you know, I've lost custody of my children along the way. I had a trucking company where I was owner and operator. I hauled coal. I had a small construction company here in West Virginia. That all went kaput. I turned in the manner that I shouldn't turn, which would be using drugs. That's no excuse for doing what I did or selling drugs when I got this charge originally before I went to prison.

I stand here before you going to -- through more and more rehab, or going to the rehab in the manner that I did, I got thrown out of it. I was with a guy that stole a can of pop and they said I grabbed a candy bar. I didn't grab no candy bar, but the boy I was with did steal a pop.

You know, I hope you can show some leniency. Then, I want to get back out there and go to work. If you'll read my PSI report, sir, since I've been a young man, I've worked.

I'm from a coal mining family. We've been coal truck drivers or truck drivers or coal miners. And, like I say, I want to work. The coal fields are starting to pick back up a little bit now that we've got Mr. Trump in there. So, I would love to get out there and get to work.

Where I was at, where I was on paper, was down in that area. There wasn't much going on. Through some depression of getting back there out on the street and getting incarcerated and whatnot, I turned to the wrong manner.

Like I said, not having my children around, I turned in a bad way. I was doing drugs and stuff like that that I shouldn't have been doing.

The coal fields are picking back up. I want to get out there and get to work. So, if I can get this sentence out of the way and get back to work as soon as I can and be done with all this, I sure would appreciate it. And God bless

1 you. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hanks? 3 MR. HANKS: Your Honor, the thing that's, I think, 4 apparent from the record here is that Mr. Napier cannot, or 5 will not, at least, abide by the conditions imposed by the 6 court and his probation officer. He's been afforded many, 7 many opportunities, treatment, reintegration, all the 8 services that Probation can provide. 9 It's also clear to me that despite having been provided 10 those opportunities, he's expressed no interest in 11 completing anything that he started with them. The threat 12 of additional jail time hanging over his head apparently makes no difference whatsoever. 13 14 So, you know, the court -- the United States' position 15 here, and I've consulted with the probation officer, as 16 well, is whatever sentence the court imposes, simply do not 17 -- and we would just ask that you not re-impose any term of 18 supervised release and we could -- we wish Mr. Napier the 19 best of luck. Hopefully, he can get employed and go about 20 his business, but I think that we've done everything that 21 the courts can do for him and then we just need to move on. 22 THE COURT: Well, it's clear to me from the long 23 record of violations here that Mr. Napier is not amenable to 24 supervision at all. Do you agree with that? 25 I do. There's really no indication MR. HANKS:

that he's responsive at all.

THE COURT: Okay. Having said that, in light of that, do you think that a sentence at the top of the guidelines here is adequate in this case to satisfy the statutory objectives of sentencing here?

MR. HANKS: Well, I thought about that, Your
Honor. You know, most of these violations are completely
self-destructive. You know, the firearm is troubling, but
the facts of that are vague enough as to who possessed it,
whether it was functional, that I hesitate to make the
strong argument based on the presence of that firearm. It's
uncomfortable as -- from a public safety point of view that
on at least one occasion, he was caught inhaling, you know,
whatever he was inhaling while in a car in a public place.
He also apparently resisted arrest with an officer.

You know, I could certainly make the case that he needs to be, you know, given the maximum sentence. I think the top of the guidelines on balance would be sufficient, but I think very few people would really be able to make an argument to -- you know, contrary to the court's decision to impose the maximum, as well. So, just -- we would ask that, you know, at least to the top of the guidelines and then no more supervised release.

THE COURT: All right. That's what I'm going to do, Mr. Napier. I find that your -- based on your record,

that any further term of supervised release would be not only a waste of time, but it would place an undue burden upon the Probation Department since you've just proven yourself to be totally unable to cooperate with the terms of your supervision.

So, I'm going to sentence you at the top of the guidelines with no re-imposition of supervised release and just hope the best for you. I hope that you get dried out while you're spending the ten months and then that you -- you'll do what you tell me you're going to and you'll go to work and hopefully stay clean and reconnect with your family.

And I think this is the best I can do for you. I don't think putting you in a rehab program or anything like that is appropriate under the -- with a view toward your history.

So, having said all that, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the court that the defendant, Patrick Warren Napier, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 10 months.

The court finds that the violations are established by a preponderance of the evidence and revocation is appropriate in this case.

Is there any objection to the form of the sentence, Mr. Campbell?

```
1
                 MR. CAMPBELL: No, Your Honor.
 2
                 THE COURT: Mr. Hanks?
 3
                 MR. HANKS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 4
                 THE COURT: Okay. I've given you 10 months and
       you will be off paper. There will be no re-imposition of
 5
 6
       terms of supervised release, Mr. Napier.
 7
            In view of the long list of violations here, I feel
 8
       like I'm giving you a real generous break here, and I hope
 9
       you make the best of it.
10
                 THE DEFENDANT: Sir, I certainly appreciate you.
11
       God bless you.
12
                 THE COURT: Okay. Court will be closed.
13
            (Recess taken)
14
                 THE COURT: I forgot to give you your appellate
15
       rights, Mr. Napier.
16
            You have the right to appeal the court's action here.
17
       If you wish to appeal, you must file within ten days a
18
       Notice of Appeal with the Clerk of this Court. If you wish
19
       to appeal and can't afford to hire counsel on appeal,
20
       counsel will be appointed for you and, if you so request,
21
       the clerk will file -- prepare and file forthwith a Notice
22
       of Appeal on your behalf.
23
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24
                 THE COURT: Now, is there anything else we need to
25
       take care of?
```

Ayme A. Cochran, RMR, CRR (304) 347-3128

```
1
                 MR. HANKS: I think that covers it. Thank you.
2
                 MR. CAMPBELL: No, Your Honor.
 3
                 THE COURT: I usually ask counsel that question
       and I didn't this time.
 4
 5
                 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, sir.
 6
                 THE COURT: All right. Thank you all.
7
            (Proceedings concluded at 1:52 p.m., February 7, 2018.)
 8
9
       CERTIFICATION:
10
            I, Ayme A. Cochran, Official Court Reporter, certify
11
       that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record
12
       of proceedings in the matter of United States of America,
13
       Plaintiff v. Patrick Warren Napier, Defendant, Criminal
14
       Action No. 2:12-cr-00207-3, as reported on February 7, 2018.
15
16
                                                     June 11, 2020
       s/Ayme A. Cochran, RMR, CRR
17
       Ayme A. Cochran, RMR, CRR
                                                         DATE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Ayme A. Cochran, RMR, CRR (304) 347-3128